	Case 2:21-cv-00238-EFB Document	4 Filed 02/18/21 Page 1 of 1
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LAITH FATHI SIKTA,	No. 2:21-cv-0238-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a county jail inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. The operative complaint is nearly identical to a complaint used to commence a	
19	separate action. See Sitka v. County of Sacramento, No. 2:21-cv-0236-DMC (E.D. Cal.). The	
20	only difference is that the complaint filed in this action appears to include a page missing from	
21	the original complaint. <i>See</i> ECF No. 1 at 2. This action is therefore redundant.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to file the instant complaint (ECF	
23	No. 1) in the case of <i>Sitka v. County of Sacramento</i> , No. 2:21-cv-0236-DMC (E.D. Cal.) as an	
24	amended complaint, along with plaintiff's in forma pauperis application (ECF No. 2). The Clerk	
25	is also directed to CLOSE case number 2:21-cv-0238-EFB P.	
26	DATED: February 18, 2021.	
27	EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
28	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	